



PRACTITIONER'S DOCKET No.: PET-004:CIP2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:	§	
JAMES B. CRAWFORD	§	EXAMINER:
AND EDWARD R. LAMB	§	
	§	
SERIAL No.: 10/691,309	§	ART UNIT: 3672
	§	
FILED: OCTOBER 22, 2003	§	
	§	
FOR: <i>THREE IN ONE COMBINED</i>	§	
<i>POWER UNIT FOR NITROGEN</i>	§	
<i>SYSTEM, FLUID SYSTEM, AND</i>	§	
<i>COILED TUBING SYSTEM</i>	§	

PETITION TO THE COMMISSIONER
UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.153(e) and 37 C.F.R. 1.181

The undersigned attorney for the Applicants respectfully petitions the Commissioner to grant the subject application a filing date of October 22, 2003 including Figure 11. As set forth in the enclosed Affidavit of Taras P. Bemko, the attorney for the Applicants filed via express mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.10, the complete application, and including 12 sheets of informal drawings (including Figs. 1-13, and specifically including Fig. 11). The submitted drawing sheets were consecutively numbered 1/12 - 12/12. The reference application was submitted with a return postcard, in conformance with MPEP §503, specifically listing twelve sheets of informal drawings. It is respectfully pointed out that "a postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the items which are being filed serves as prima facie evidence of receipt in the USPTO of all items listed thereon on the date stamped thereon by the USPTO." MPEP §503. Further, it is respectfully pointed out that, in accordance with MPEP §503, a listing, on the return

05/12/2004 SDIRETA1 00000058 10691309

02 FC:1460

130.00 0P

Adjustment date: 08/03/2004 AKELLEY
05/12/2004 SDIRETA1 00000058 10691309
02 FC:1460 -130.00 0P

Refund Ref: 08/03/2004 AKELLEY 0000137923

CHECK Refund Total: \$130.00

postcard, of the number of drawing sheets is an acceptable listing and that if any items, listed on the postcard, are not being submitted to the USPTO those items will be crossed off and the postcard initialed by the person receiving the items. As evidenced by the enclosed stamped postcard receipt (Exhibit C of the enclosed Affidavit of Taras P. Bemko), there are no items crossed out nor initialed by the USPTO thus raising the presumption that all items, listed on the postcard receipt, including twelve sheets of informal drawings (which would include Fig. 11 (sheet 10/12)), was submitted to and received by the USPTO. A duplicate set of such drawings, as filed on October 22, 2003, is enclosed herewith for purposes of comparison. The duplicate set of drawings contains the serial number and filing date of October 22, 2003 for ease of identification by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A stamped postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the items which were filed, with the subject application on October 22, 2003, is enclosed to serve as prima facie evidence that twelve sheets of drawings were properly submitted. The Petition Fee of \$130.00 is enclosed herewith. Applicants respectfully request that the Petition Fee be refunded in view of the drawings having already been filed in this application on October 22, 2003.

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I certify that this document, attachments, and fee are being deposited on May 10, 2004 with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail (No. EV 294298325 US) and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450; Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



MATT ROBINSON

Respectfully Submitted



Taras P. Bemko

Reg. No. 52,609

The Matthews Firm (Cust No. 021897)

1900 West Loop South, Ste. 1800

Houston, Texas 77027

Tel: 713-355-4200

Fax: 713-355-9689